| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicanτ(s) |
|---|---|--|
| | 10/754,342 | JONES, MICHAEL H. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| · | Robert A. Wax | 1656 |
| All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowble</u> | | |
| (1) Robert A. Wax. | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Janis K. Fraser</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 30 October 2006 | Time: <u>10:43 AM</u> | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes, provide a brief description: | mi's representative) | |
| Part I. | · . | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| None | | • |
| Claims discussed: | | |
| all of record | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: None | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER Authorization was granted for the attached Examiner's amendment | | S DISCUSSED: |
| Part III. | · | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summare | e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview |
| | | |
| · | | |
| · | | |
| | | |
| | | · |
| // flux | | |
| (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) | | |